

Lecture 13: SQ learning and PAC learning with noise

1 / 14

Recap: Statistical Computation Trade-off

i) Computational Complexity

- Concept class k -CNF and hence 3-CNF is efficiently PAC learnable.
- Under widely believed assumption $RP \neq NP$, 3-DNF is not efficiently PAC learnable.

However, note that by the distributive law of boolean operations, every $\phi \in 3\text{-DNF}$ can be represented as some $\varphi \in 3\text{-CNF}$.

$$\phi = T_1 \vee T_2 \vee T_3 = \bigwedge_{\ell_1 \in T_1, \ell_2 \in T_2, \ell_3 \in T_3} (\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3) = \varphi$$

Thus, we can learn to output a 3-CNF instead, which is computationally feasible. So, if we are allowed to output a CNF, then there is no problem in learning 3-DNF.

2 / 14

Recap: Statistical Computation Trade-off

ii) Statistical Complexity Both 3-CNF and 3-DNF have a finite VC dimension and are hence PAC learnable (inefficiently for 3-DNF).

- **3-DNF** Using upper bound on sample complexity for PAC learning, $\phi \in 3\text{-DNF}_d$ can be inefficiently PAC learned with statistical complexity $O(\frac{d}{\epsilon})$ calls to example oracle.
- **3-CNF** By lower bound on sample complexity for PAC learning, any $\varphi \in 3\text{-CNF}_d$ can be efficiently PAC learned with $\Omega\left(\frac{|3\text{-CNF}_d|}{\epsilon}\right) = \Omega\left(\frac{|d^3|}{\epsilon}\right)$ calls to example oracle.
- While 3-DNF_d could not be learned efficiently **properly**, it can be learned **efficiently improperly** with more samples — d^3 vs d .
- Whether this statistical gap can be reduced while maintaining computational efficiency remains an open question.

3 / 14

PAC learning with noise

- So far, we have considered only noiseless setting due to the definition of $\text{Ex}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$.
- In the noiseless setting, an efficient consistent learner for a hypothesis class implies an efficient PAC learning algorithm.
- However, this is not true when the dataset is noisy,
 - In the rectangle learning algorithm, a negative point mislabelled as positive can lead to an arbitrarily large rectangle.
 - For Conjunctions, a negative example labelled as positive can lead to the elimination of a large number of good literals.
- For the noisy case, we need to think of a different framework. We will look at two of them today
 - PAC with Random Classification Noise (RCN)
 - Statistical Query Learning (SQ)

4 / 14

PAC with Random Classification Noise

- For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, distribution \mathbb{P}_x over \mathcal{X} , and noise parameter $\eta < \frac{1}{2}$, a **Noisy Example Oracle**: $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ samples $x \sim \mathbb{P}_x$ and returns $(x, c(x))$ with probability $1 - \eta$ and $(x, 1 - c(x))$ with probability η .

Definition (PAC learning with RCN)

A concept class \mathcal{C} is PAC learnable with RCN using hypothesis class \mathcal{H} if there exists a learning algorithm \mathcal{A} such that for all $d > 0$, all distributions \mathbb{P}_x over \mathcal{X}_d , concept $c \in \mathcal{C}_d$, and $0 < \epsilon, \delta, \eta < \frac{1}{2}$ if \mathcal{A} is given access to $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ and knows $\epsilon, \delta, \text{size}(c), d$, and η_0 where $\frac{1}{2} > \eta_0 \geq \eta$ \mathcal{A} returns $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have that $\mathbb{P}_x[h(x) \neq c(x)] \leq \epsilon$. Further, the number of calls made to $\text{Ex}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ should be polynomial in $\text{size}(c), d, \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{1}{1-2\eta_0}$.

Efficient PAC learnability: \mathcal{A} should run in time polynomial in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta}, \text{size}(c), d, \frac{1}{1-2\eta_0}$.

5 / 14

Learning Conjunctions with noise

- For any literal ℓ in c , we have that $\mathbb{P}_x[\ell(x) = 0 \wedge c(x) = 1] = 0$. We need to put all such literals that have a significant probability mass of being false in the distribution.
- Significant Literal** A literal ℓ is significant if $\mathbb{P}_x[\ell(x) = 0] \geq \frac{\epsilon}{8d}$
- Harmful Literal** A literal ℓ is harmful if $\mathbb{P}_x[\ell(x) = 0 \wedge c(x) = 1] \geq \frac{\epsilon}{8d}$
- Let h be a hypothesis that is a conjunction of all significant literals that are not harmful.
- Let L denote the set of all $2d$ literals, S the set of significant literals, and T the set of harmful literals. Then, $T \subseteq S \subseteq L$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x[h(x) \neq c(x)] &= \mathbb{P}_x[h(x) = 0 \wedge c(x) = 1] + \mathbb{P}_x[h(x) = 1 \wedge c(x) = 0] \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell \in S \setminus T} \mathbb{P}_x[\ell(x) = 0 \wedge c(x) = 1] + \sum_{\ell \in L \setminus S} \mathbb{P}_x[\ell(x) = 0] \\ &\leq |S \setminus T| \frac{\epsilon}{8d} + |L \setminus S| \frac{\epsilon}{8d} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Show that the probability estimates of whether a literal is significant and/or harmful can be obtained using concentration bounds and is polynomial in all required quantities.

6 / 14

Statistical Query Learning

- Note that the above algorithm relied on computing statistics. We will utilise this directly here.
- Instead of having access to an example oracle, here the learning algorithm can access a statistical query oracle $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$
- A statistical query is a tuple (χ, τ) , where $\chi : \mathcal{X} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a boolean function and τ is the tolerance parameter.
- The response of $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ to a query (χ, τ) is a value $\nu \in [0, 1]$ s.t.

$$|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, c(x))] - \nu| \leq \tau$$

Learning Conjunctions using statistical query oracle.

Todo: Show that the *insignificant* and *harmful* literals above can be identified with the statistical query oracle.

7 / 14

Statistical Query Learnability

Let \mathcal{C} be a concept class and \mathcal{H} be a hypothesis class.

Definition (SQ learnability)

We say \mathcal{C} is **efficiently learnable from statistical queries using \mathcal{H}** if there exists a learning algorithm \mathcal{A} and polynomials $p(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, $q(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, and $r(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ such that for all $d \geq 1$ for every target $c \in \mathcal{C}_d$, for every distribution \mathbb{P}_x over \mathcal{X}_d , for any accuracy parameter $\epsilon > 0$, if \mathcal{A} is given access to the statistical query oracle, $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$, and inputs ϵ and $\text{size}(c)$ satisfies the following, \mathcal{A} halts in time bounded by $p(d, \text{size}(c), \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ and outputs $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathbb{P}_x[h(x) \neq c(x)] \leq \epsilon$.

Further, for any query (χ, τ) made by \mathcal{A} to $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$, the predicate χ must be evaluable in time $q(d, \text{size}(c), \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ and $\frac{1}{\tau}$ is bounded by $r(d, \text{size}(c), \frac{1}{\epsilon})$.

- Why is there no δ here ?
 - The $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ is required to output a value within the tolerance parameter τ with probability one. However, if the algorithm were randomised, then a δ parameter would be required.
 - Intuitively, This separates the randomisation in the sampling of the data and the randomisation in the algorithm.

8 / 14

SQ Learnability implies PAC learnability

Theorem

If \mathcal{C} is efficiently SQ-learnable using \mathcal{H} then \mathcal{C} is efficiently PAC learnable using \mathcal{H}

Proof Strategy

- Let \mathcal{A} be the algorithm that learns \mathcal{C} using \mathcal{H} in the SQ model using k queries to $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$
- Simulate \mathcal{A} in the PAC model, by replacing each call to SQ oracle with an empirical estimate of the SQ χ using $m = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2} \log \frac{k}{\delta}\right)$ samples $(x_1, c(x_1), \dots, (x_m, c(x_m)))$ drawn from $\text{Ex}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$.
- Using Hoeffding's bound,
 $\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(x_i, c(x_i)) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, c(x))]\right| \leq \tau$ holds w.p. $1 - \delta$.
- Applying a simple union bound over the k queries yields the desired result.

9 / 14

SQ Learnability implies PAC learnability with RCN

Theorem

If \mathcal{C} is efficiently SQ-learnable using \mathcal{H} then \mathcal{C} is efficiently PAC learnable with Random Classification Noise (RCN) using \mathcal{H}

Proof sketch

We need to show that we can *simulate the statistical query* $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ for any query χ using polynomial calls to $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$.

Extra notations for proof For simplicity, we will require the following—

- Assume the boolean functions are in $\{-1, 1\}$ instead of $\{0, 1\}$.
- To go from boolean to $\{-1, 1\}$, map 0 to 1 and 1 to -1 .
- Assume the queries are of the form $\chi : \mathcal{X} \times \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ so that $\mathbb{P}_x[\chi(x, c(x)) = -1] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, c(x))]$

10 / 14

Proof of SQ Learnability implies PAC learnability with RCN

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, c(x))] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, 1) \cdot \mathbb{1}(c(x) = 1)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, -1) \cdot \mathbb{1}(c(x) = -1)] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x} \left[\chi(x, 1) \cdot \left(\frac{1 + c(x)}{2} \right) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x} \left[\chi(x, -1) \cdot \left(\frac{1 - c(x)}{2} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, 1)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, -1)]) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, 1)c(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, -1)c(x)])
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that there are two kinds of queries here

- $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, 1)], \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, -1)]$: Target independent queries. For any χ , using Hoeffding's bound, can be easily simulated using $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ for any η as the query is independent of target.
- $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, 1)c(x)], \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\chi(x, -1)c(x)]$: Correlational queries. This computes the correlation between a function of x and the target. We will look into this in detail.

11 / 14

Proof of SQ Learnability implies PAC learnability with RCN

A correlation query has the form (φ, τ) where $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}, \tau \in [0, 1]$. The response of $\text{STAT}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ is ν_φ such that $|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\varphi(x)c(x)] - \nu_\varphi| \leq \tau$

Simulating responses to correlational queries

- Let $\sigma \sim B(\eta)$ be a r.v. that is 1 w.p. $1 - \eta$ and -1 w.p. η . $\mathbb{E}[\sigma] = 1 - 2\eta$.
- Let $(x, c(x))$ be a random example from $\text{Ex}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$; then $(x, c(x)\sigma)$ is a random example from $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)}[\varphi(x)y] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\mathbb{E}_\sigma[\varphi(x)c(x)\sigma]] = (1 - 2\eta)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\varphi(x)c(x)]$$

- Draw m examples from $\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$, $((x_1, y_1) \dots (x_m, y_m))$ and define $\hat{\nu} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(x_i)y_i$. Choose m s.t. $|\hat{\nu} - \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ex}_\eta(c; \mathbb{P}_x)}[\varphi(x)y]| \leq \tau_1(1 - 2\eta)$ with prob. $1 - \delta$, where we choose τ_1 later.

12 / 14

Proof of SQ Learnability implies PAC learnability with RCN

- Assume, we do not know the true η but some $\hat{\eta} \leq \eta_0$ (η_0 is an upper bound) such that $|\hat{\eta} - \eta| \leq \Delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}}{1-2\hat{\eta}} - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_x}[\varphi(x)c(x)] \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}}{1-2\hat{\eta}} - \frac{\hat{\nu}}{1-2\eta} + \frac{\hat{\nu}}{1-2\eta} - \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ex}_{\eta}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)}[\varphi(x)y] \right| \\ &\leq |\hat{\nu}| \frac{2\Delta}{(1-2\eta_0)^2} + \frac{1}{1-2\eta_0} \left| \hat{\nu} - \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ex}_{\eta}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)}[\varphi(x)y] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\Delta}{(1-2\eta_0)^2} + \frac{\tau_1}{1-2\eta_0} \end{aligned}$$

- Make both term less than $\frac{\tau}{2}$. Set $m = O\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau(1-2\eta_0)}}\right)$ for the second term.
- For the first term, choose $\Delta \leq \frac{\tau}{2(1-2\eta_0)^2}$ and run the algorithm for all values of $\hat{\eta} = i\Delta$ for $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{\eta_0}{\Delta} \rfloor$, let the corresponding output hypothesis be $h_1, \dots, h_{\lfloor \frac{\eta_0}{\Delta} \rfloor}$.
- Finally, we can show that by testing each of the h_i on an independent sample of $\text{Ex}_{\eta}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$ and outputting the best one, solves our problem.

13 / 14

Conclusion

- We have seen that **SQ learnability implies PAC learnability**.
- We have also seen a much stronger result that **SQ learnability implies PAC learnability with RCN**.
- But does PAC learnability also imply SQ learnability ? **No, PARITIES**
- Does PAC learnability with noise imply SQ learnability ? **No, Blum et. al. (2003)**
- Thus **SQ learnability is a strictly weaker condition than both PAC and PAC with RCN**.
- People have used this implication to provide algorithms for learning with noise by providing an SQ learner and then simulating it with $\text{Ex}_{\eta}(c; \mathbb{P}_x)$.
- For full proofs of everything, we have seen today refer to Chapter 5 in KV.

14 / 14